Submitted Use Case Review Process

**Phase 1: Initiation**
- Use case proposal brought forward by stakeholder group or Council
- Public call for use cases

**Phase 2: Development and Testing**
- Existing mCODE data elements are shared with use case owner, if applicable
- No new data elements needed/no EC review required
- Proceed to EC review
- Out of scope - recommend for alternative implementation path (e.g., CodeX)
- EC approves use case for development and recommends an implementation path
- Stakeholders develop and model required data elements
- New modeled data elements go through testing/implementation
- EC establishes new Working Group of experts to define and develop required data elements
- TRG reviews new elements, reconciles them with existing mCODE data dictionary (dd), determines if placed in mCODE Core or Supplement, and submits to EC for approval
- Modeled and tested data elements considered core are sent to TRG for consideration in mCODE

**Phase 3: Final Review and Approval**
- External Pathway: Data elements already modeled and tested outside of TRG/CodeX
- New modeled data elements go through testing/implementation
- EC approves new data elements added to mCODE dd
- Modeled and tested data elements determined not likely to be added to dd
- EC determines if placed in mCODE Core or Supplement, and submits to EC for approval
- Implementation and testing (pilots)
- TRG periodically evaluates existing mCODE dd core and supplement and recommends updates for currency

**Key**
- TRG (Technical Review Group)
- CodeX
- mCODE Data Dictionary
- Other Stakeholders

For more information, contact mcode-info@asco.org or visit mcodeinitiative.org.
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